

---

# Modeling evolution using the probability of fixation

David Mccandlish<sup>\*†1</sup> and Arlin Stoltzfus<sup>3,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania – Philadelphia, PA, United States

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research – Rockville, MD, United States

<sup>2</sup>Biochemical Science Division, National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST) – United States

## Abstract

Here I will describe, and attempt to explain, the surprisingly complex history of a class of widely used population-genetic models. The distinguishing feature of these models is that they express the rate of evolution as the product of 1) the rate at which a particular mutant originates within the population and 2) the probability that a newly introduced mutant of that type will go to fixation. Although from today's perspective it might seem very obvious to go from a probability of fixation such as  $2s$  (a classical result due to Haldane, 1927) to expressing the rate of evolution as  $K=2Nu*2s=4Nus$ , in fact such models were wholly absent from the classical literature and only emerged as part of the molecular revolution during the late 1960s. Indeed, I will argue that such models are incompatible with the Modern Synthesis, and in essence formalize verbal models for evolution first proposed by the so-called Mutationists at the turn of the century. I will also describe the subsequent development of these models from the 1980s until today, highlighting in particular a highly parallel structure in which multiple independent literatures reinvented the same basic set of elaborations.

---

\*Speaker

†Corresponding author: davidmc@sas.upenn.edu