
Birds Trust Their Wings, Sharks Their Teeth, and Humans Their Minds: The Critical Intelligence Argument Against Naturalism

John Mizzoni*¹

¹Neumann University – One Neumann Drive Aston, PA 19014, United States

Abstract

In this paper I discuss John Haught's 'critical intelligence' argument against naturalism. First I outline Haught's version of theistic evolution. Then I discuss the case he makes against naturalism with his 'critical intelligence' argument. He uses two versions of the argument to make his case: a *trustworthiness of critical intelligence argument* and an *ineffectiveness of naturalistic theories of the mind* argument. I evaluate both versions of his 'critical intelligence' argument against naturalism, and find that both versions contain false premises, and thus come up short in making a strong case against naturalism.

*Speaker